



The Left Fusiform Gyrus is a Critical Region Contributing to the Core Behavioral Profile of Semantic Dementia

Junhua Ding^{1†}, Keliang Chen^{2†}, Yan Chen¹, Yuxing Fang¹, Qing Yang², Yingru Lv³, Nan Lin⁴, Yanchao Bi¹, Qihao Guo^{2*} and Zaizhu Han^{1*}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning, IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China, ² Department of Neurology, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, ³ Department of Radiology, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, ⁴ Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Given that extensive cerebral regions are co-atrophic in semantic dementia (SD), it is not yet known which critical regions (SD-semantic-critical regions) are really responsible for the semantic deficits of SD. To identify the SD-semantic-critical regions, we explored the relationship between the degree of cerebral atrophy in the whole brain and the severity of semantic deficits in 19 individuals with SD. We found that the gray matter volumes (GMVs) of two regions [left fusiform gyrus (IFFG) and left parahippocampal gyrus (IPHG)] significantly correlated with the semantic scores of patients with SD. Importantly, the effects of the IFFG remained significant after controlling for the GMVs of the IPHG. Moreover, the effects of the region could not be accounted for by the total GMV, general cognitive ability, laterality of brain atrophy, or control task performance. We further observed that each atrophic portion of the IFFG along the anterior–posterior axis might dedicate to the loss of semantic functions in SD. These results reveal that the IFFG could be a critical region contributing to the semantic deficits of SD.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Arthur M. Jacobs, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany

Reviewed by:

Randi Martin, Rice University, USA Lars Kuchinke, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Germany

*Correspondence:

Qihao Guo dr.guoqihao@126.com; Zaizhu Han zzhhan@bnu.edu.cn

[†]These authors are co-first authors.

Received: 10 January 2016 **Accepted:** 26 April 2016 **Published:** 19 May 2016

Citation:

Ding J, Chen K, Chen Y, Fang Y, Yang Q, Lv Y, Lin N, Bi Y, Guo Q and Han Z (2016) The Left Fusiform Gyrus is a Critical Region Contributing to the Core Behavioral Profile of Semantic Dementia. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 10:215. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00215 Keywords: lesion-behavior mapping, fusiform gyrus, co-atrophy, semantic dementia, semantic deficits

INTRODUCTION

Semantic dementia (SD, which is generally referred to as semantic variant primary progressive aphasia) is characterized by the selective deterioration of semantic knowledge (Snowden et al., 1989; Hodges et al., 1992). The neuroanatomical profile of this disease includes progressive brain atrophy, with the earliest and most severe atrophy occurring in the temporal poles (Mesulam et al., 2012, 2013). These findings promote the assumption that the temporal pole is the critical cortical region responsible for the semantic impairments observed in SD patients (i.e., SD-semantic-critical region; Seeley et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2013). However, this assumption should be considered with caution given that there are many atrophic cortical regions in SD (i.e., SD-cortical-atrophic regions; Rohrer et al., 2009; La Joie et al., 2014), and some of these regions have been correlated with the severity of semantic deficits in SD (i.e., SD-semantic-correlated regions; Galton et al., 2001; Rosen et al., 2002; Adlam et al., 2006). Therefore, a potential limitation of these studies is the lack of identification of the SD-semantic-correlated regions are atrophic (e.g., Good et al., 2002;

Ogar et al., 2011). Therefore, the results attributed to a given SDsemantic-correlated region might actually arise from the atrophy of another region. Thus, an SD-semantic-critical region should maintain a significant association with semantic performance in SD after the influence of the other SD-semantic-correlated regions is adjusted.

Mion et al. (2010) conducted an elegant functional positron emission tomography (PET) study in which they found that the fusiform gyrus (FFG), but not the temporal pole, exerted a significant e ect on semantic disruptions in SD by performing regression analyses that simultaneously considered the e ects of eight regions (the bilateral temporal poles, FFGs, superior temporal gyri, and inferior frontal gyri). However, it is important to explore whether structural changes in the FFG are critical for the semantic deficits of SD. Moreover, it is necessary to examine whether there are other SD-semantic-critical regions among the atrophic areas in addition to the FFG and temporal pole.

The present study aims to identify SD-semantic-critical regions by correlating semantic task performance with the gray matter index in the whole brain in 19 individuals with SD. The analyses further controlled for the influence of atrophy in multiple cerebral areas and potential confounding factors. We found that the left fusiform gyrus (IFFG) contributed to the semantic impairments of SD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Patients with SD and healthy controls were recruited from Huashan Hospital in Shanghai. All participants were native Chinese speakers and provided written informed consent. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning, Beijing Normal University.

SD Patients

Nineteen adults with SD participated in this study (12 males, all right-handed; mean age: 61.26 8.63 years; formal education level: 11.53 3.34 years). They had normal or corrected-to-normal hearing and vision, and no history of alcoholism, head trauma, or neurological or psychiatric illness. Their neuropsychological performance and predominant anterior temporal lobe atrophy met the diagnostic criteria for SD (Gorno-

Tempini et al., 2011; see T below (see T 216(f(;)-360(furt)-4 (hee T dalyse) 27-216 padditiee T 480 for)] TJ 0 -11.457 Td [(t)-4 ine) 2(409-216 (crit)7 (

TABLE 1 | Demographic characteristics, behavioral performance (raw and corrected t scores) and cerebral gray matter volumes (GMVs) of SD patients and healthy control subjects.

		Healthy controls Raw score	SD patients	
			Raw score	Corrected t score
Demographic characteristics				
Age (years)		60.50 (3.93)	61.26 (8.63)	
Gender (male:female)		8:12	12:7	
Education level (years)		10.45 (2.89)	11.53 (3.34)	
Handedness (right:left)		20:0	19:0	
Behavior-imaging data collection interval (days)		46.65 (42.37)	41.47 (71.98)	
Behavioral performance				
Confrontation naming	Oral picture naming (n D 140)	124.25 (7.95)	33.68 (21.07)	10.26 (2.65)
	Oral sound naming (n D 36)	25.40 (4.06)	6.79 (4.38)	4.31 (1.35)
Single-word comprehension	Picture associative matching (n D 70)	66.45 (2.39)	50.42 (7.87)	5.36 (2.76)
	Word associative matching (n D 70)	67.15 (1.46)	49.16 (8.89)	18.32 (10.10)
	Word-picture verification (n D 70)	67.25 (1.94)	40.11 (14.23)	12.46 (6.87)
Object knowledge for low-frequency concepts	Naming to definition (n D 22)	18.35 (2.43)	4.59 (3.86)	7.83 (3.07)
Surface dyslexia	Regularity effect of word reading aloud (the correct numbers on irregular words – those on regular words)	0.40 (0.82)	2.16 (2.24)	2.45 (2.83)
	Regularization errors of word reading aloud (max D 12)	0.40 (0.75)	1.89 (1.37)	2.58 (2.23)
Repetition	Oral repetition (n D 12)	11.55 (0.94)	11.00 (1.37)	0.44 (1.18)
Grammar processing	Percentage of reasonable sentences for cookie theft picture description (accuracy)	91% (13%)	87% (14%)	0.35 (0.96)
Arithmetic ability	Number calculation (n D 7)	6.50 (0.69)	6.32 (1.06)	0.24 (1.52)
General cognitive state	MMSE (max D 30)	27.95 (1.61)	20.47 (4.40)	3.81 (2.21)
Visuospatial perception	REY-O copy (max D 36)	34.75 (1.77)	32.00 (4.38)	1.62 (2.54)
Episodic memory	REY-O recall (max D 36)	16.05 (6.53)	8.94 (7.99)	1.23 (1.16)
Executive function	STT (seconds)	91.35 (36.05)	121.00 (74.56)	0.87 (2.09)
Cerebral gray matter volume				
In the whole brain (cm ³)		421 (28)	372 (39)	1.62 (1.16)
In unilateral temporal pole (cm ³)	Left temporal pole	5.09 (0.78)	1.35 (0.52)	3.92 (0.66)
	Right temporal pole	6.62 (0.78)	2.90 (1.80)	4.27 (1.95)

The numbers in parentheses are the standard deviations.

three pictures in the same category arranged in an upright triangle. The participants were asked to identify which of the two bottom photographs (e.g., tadpole and lion) was semantically closer to the top one (e.g., frog). (2) Word associative matching: This task was identical to the picture associative matching task except that the pictures were replaced with corresponding written words. (3) Word-picture verification: This task contained 70 items, including 10 items from each category in the oral picture naming task described above. For each item, a photographed object (e.g., tiger) was presented in two separate blocks, once with the target object word (e.g., tiger) and once with a semantically related object word from the same category (e.g., leopard). The participants were asked to determine whether the object and the word were identical by pressing a "YES" or "NO" button on the screen. An item was scored as correct only if a correct response was provided for both blocks (i.e., the participant correctly accepted the target and rejected the incorrect choice).

Object knowledge for low-frequency concepts

The *naming to definition task* was used. This task contained 22 object items from the categories in the oral picture naming task described above. The written names of the items were infrequent (word frequency: 2 0.9/million; Sun et al., 1997). For each item, the participants heard the definition of an object, which was adopted from an encyclopedia in most cases, and were told to say the name of the object.

Surface dyslexia

The *word reading aloud task* was used. We selected 24 Chinese semantic-phonetic compound characters. Each of the characters contained a semantic radical and a phonetic radical, which provide clues about the meaning and the pronunciation of the whole character, respectively (Weekes and Chen, 1999; Shu et al., 2003). For example, the compound character #/ma1/(mother) comprises the semantic radical $\pm/nv3/(female)$ and the phonetic radical #/ma3/(horse). The compound characters consisted of 12

regular characters with sounds that are identical to those of their phonetic radicals and 12 irregular characters with sounds that di er from their phonetic radicals. The participants were asked to read the characters aloud and accurately. A patient was diagnosed with surface dyslexia if, relative to healthy controls, he or she had lower reading accuracy for irregular characters than regular characters or had a higher rate of regularization errors (Bi et al., 2007).

Repetition

The *oral repetition task* was adopted. The participants were asked to repeat what they heard (eight words, four sentences).

Grammar processing

We used the *cookie theft picture description task* from the Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Examination (BDAE; Goodglass and Kaplan, 1972). The participant's grammar processing ability was defined as the percentage of reasonable sentences among all the sentences that he or she produced (Gordon, 2006).

General cognitive state

The Chinese version of the *Mini-Mental State Examination* (MMSE; Folstein et al., 1975) was used as a measure of the general cognitive state.

Arithmetic ability

We used a *calculation number task*. Seven calculation questions (two addition, two subtraction, two multiplication, and one division) were presented, and the subjects were instructed to provide the correct answers.

Episodic memory, visuospatial perception, and executive function

These abilities were investigated using the *Rey-O Recall test* (Rey, 1941; Osterrieth, 1944), the *Rey-O Copy test* (Rey, 1941; Osterrieth, 1944) and the shape trail test (STT; Zhao et al., 2013), respectively.

Data Preprocessing

Obtaining raw scores for each task

The first responses to the judgment tasks and the first complete responses to the oral production tasks (except for the cookie theft picture description task) were scored. The MMSE, Rey-O Recall test, Rey-O Copy test and STT were coded using their respective scoring standards. To ensure high rater-reliability of the tasks with scores based on the rater's subjective judgments, two raters independently scored all such tasks for each SD patient. The scores of the two raters were significantly correlated for each task across patients (oral picture naming: r D 0.997, $p < 10^{-19}$; oral sound naming: r D 0.99, $p < 10^{-15}$; oral definition naming: r D 0.997, $p < 10^{-14}$; r D 0.997, $p < 10^{-19}$; oral repetition: r D 0.99, $p < 10^{-6}$; MMSE: r D 0.996, $p < 10^{-18}$).

Correcting the raw scores of each task

The patients' raw scores may not have accurately reflected the degree of deficits, as the patient group showed considerable variation in demographic characteristics (e.g., age, gender, and education level; see Supplementary Table S1). To obtain an index

that could more precisely measure the degree of deficits, we used the single case-to-controls method proposed by Crawford and Garthwaite (2006), in which the patients' raw scores were corrected by considering the raw score distribution and demographic information of the 20 healthy subjects (see a detailed description for this method in Han et al., 2013a,b).

Computing the semantic score

The semantic performance of a patient was measured as a semantic composite score, which was computed using a principle component analysis (PCA) based on all six semantic tasks that varied in the degree of semantic involvement and input/output modalities, which included oral picture naming, sound naming, picture associative matching, word associative matching, word-picture verification, and naming to definition (low frequency). We entered the behavioral accuracies of all six tasks into the PCA program and employed varimax rotation, a plot of the eigenvalues (eigenvalues > 1) and a principal component extraction. The semantic PCA factor was defined as a component that had a high loading weight on all tasks in which semantic processing is highly relevant. The semantic PCA score was computed as the linear combination of the corrected task scores and factor score coe cients.

Imaging Data Collection and Preprocessing Data Collection

The SD patients and healthy control subjects were scanned using the same Siemens 3T scanner at Huashan Hospital in Shanghai. The 3D T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) images (structural images) were acquired in the sagittal plane using the following parameters: repetition time D 2300 ms, echo time D 2.98 ms, flip angle D 9, matrix size D 240 256, field of view D 240 mm 256 mm, slice number D 192 slices, slice thickness D 1 mm, and voxel size D 1 mm 1 mm.

Data Preprocessing

The structural images were first subjected to skull-strip processing using PANDA software¹(Cui et al., 2013). The skull-stripped images were further resampled into 1.5 mm 1.5 mm 1.5 mm regions and segmented into di erent tissue types (i.e., gray matter, white matter, or cerebrospinal fluid), followed by spatial normalization to the MNI space using VBM8² in SPM8³. Then, the gray matter volume (GMV) images were generated via a ne transformation and non-linear warping and were smoothed using an 8-mm full-width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel.

Identifying SD-Semantic-Critical Regions

Prior to identifying the SD-semantic-critical regions, we determined the SD-cortical-atrophic and SD-semantic-correlated regions.

¹http://www.nitrc.org/projects/panda/

²http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/vbm8/

³http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/

SD-Cortical-Atrophic Regions

To locate the brain regions that clearly display atrophy in SD, we conducted a voxel-based morphometric analysis of the structural images in which an independent sample *t*-test was used to compare the GMVs of each voxel of the whole brain between SD patients and healthy control subjects. The voxels that survived at the significance of the AlphaSim-corrected p < 0.05 (single voxel p < 0.05, cluster size > 2885 voxels) threshold were used to create a binary atrophy mask.

We performed two complementary analyses in parallel, which included region-based and voxel-based analyses that were implemented on individual atrophic regions and individual atrophic voxels, respectively. The procedures for these two analyses were highly similar; therefore, we only describe the procedures for the region-based analysis (RBA) here. To identify the atrophic regions in the patients with SD, the atrophic mask obtained following the procedures described above was overlapped onto the automated anatomical labeling (AAL) atlas (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002), which parcellates the cerebral gray matter into 90 individual regions. An SD-cortical-atrophic region was defined as an AAL region with more than 50% of atrophic voxels (the number of voxels in the atrophy mask for the region/the total number of voxels in the region). Each patient was entered in the following analyses, regardless of his or her severity of atrophy in the SD-cortical-atrophic region. The atrophy value of a patient in an SD-cortical-atrophic region was the mean GMV of all voxels in the atrophy mask for the region.

SD-Semantic-Correlated Regions

To reveal the regions associated with semantic deficits in SD, the GMVs of each SD-cortical-atrophic region identified as described above were correlated with the semantic PCA scores across 19 SD patients. A region was considered as a SD-semantic-correlated region if it displayed a significant correlation (Bonferroni corrected p < 0.05).

SD-Semantic-Critical Regions

To find the critical regions of semantic impairments in SD, we separately correlated the GMVs of each SD-semantic-correlated region identified with the procedures described above using the patient's semantic PCA scores after partialling out the GMVs of all other SD-semantic-correlated regions.

Validating the Effects of an SD-Semantic-Critical Region

To examine whether the observed e ects might be driven by other confounding variables, we again correlated the GMVs of the observed region with the semantic PCA scores across all SD patients, while controlling for the e ects of other potential confounding variables. The potential confounding variables included (1) total GMV (measured by the total GMV of all voxels in the whole-brain gray matter mask), (2) overall cognitive state (the corrected *t* score of the MMSE test), (3) laterality of brain atrophy (a dichotomic variable: left- or right-hemispheric predominate atrophy was coded as 1 or 0, respectively), and (4)

non-semantic control task performance (the corrected *t* scores on three minimal semantic processing tasks: episodic memory, oral word reading, and number calculation).

Investigating the Semantic-Relevant Effects across Different Portions of an SD-Semantic-Critical Region

To explore whether the observed e ects of an SD-semanticcritical region were driven by a given part of its atrophic region, we first evenly split the region (in MNI space) along the *y*-axis into five subregions. Then, the GMVs of each subregion were correlated with the semantic PCA scores across 19 SD subjects.

RESULTS

Neuropsychological Profiles of the Participants

Table 1 displays the background characteristics, behavioral performance (raw and corrected *t* scores) and cortical GMV of the SD patients and the healthy control subjects (see Supplementary Tables S1 and S2 for details). To evaluate whether a patient su ered from SD, we established the threshold value for his or her behavioral performance on each task and for the degree of atrophy in the temporal pole using raw scores (beyond two standard deviations from the average raw score of 20 healthy control subjects) or the corrected *t* scores (< 1.96 or >1.96). These two cuto methods consistently revealed that the 19 patients exhibited the behavioral patterns and brain atrophy characteristics of SD, as proposed by Gorno-Tempini et al. (2011). Here, we present only the corrected *t* scores.

Our patient group exhibited profound deficits on the confrontation naming tasks (mean t scores < 4), singleword comprehension tasks (mean t scores < 5), and object knowledge task for infrequent objects (mean t score < 7). They also su ered from surface dyslexia with a clear regularity e ect for reading words (mean t score < 2) and considerable regularization errors (mean t score > 2). Conversely, their repetition, grammar processing, arithmetic calculation, visuospatial perception, episodic memory, and executive function abilities were normal (mean t scores > 1.70). The semantic composite score was determined by performing a PCA across six semantic tasks. Only one component was extracted (eigenvalues >1), and this component accounted for 65% of the model variance with high loading values on each task (0.71-0.91). We thus labeled this component as the semantic processing component.

For brain atrophy, the patients exhibited clearly low GMVs in the left (mean *t* score < -3) and right (mean *t* score < -4) temporal poles. They presented with bilateral but asymmetric hemispheric atrophy (L > R: 13 patients; R > L: 6 patients).

SD-Semantic-Critical Regions SD-Cortical-Atrophic Regions

Figure 1 illustrates the GMVs of the individuals with SD and healthy controls. The maximal atrophy severity



FIGURE 1 | Gray matter volume (GMV) maps of the participants. (A) and (B) are the GMV maps of the SD patients and healthy controls, respectively. The value

A GMV map of SD patients

TABLE 2 | The characteristics of the 36 atrophic regions in SD patients and the correlation coefficients between the GMVs of each atrophic region and the semantic PCA scores.

Atrophic region	Abbr	Atrophy ratio in the whole region	Atrophy size (mm ³)	Correlation coefficients
Frontal lobe				
Left superior frontal gyrus (orbital part)	ORBsup_L	0.67	5434	0.12
Right superior frontal gyrus (orbital part)	ORBsup_R	0.64	5113	0.29
Left middle frontal gyrus (orbital part)	ORBmid_L	0.60	4290	0.13
Left inferior frontal gyrus (orbital part)	ORBinf_L	0.61	8043	0.15
Left olfactory cortex	OLF_L	0.98	2238	0.17
Right olfactory cortex	OLF_R	0.98	2325	0.01
Left superior frontal gyrus (medial orbital)	ORBsupmed_L	0.99	6058	0.17
Right superior frontal gyrus (medial orbital)	ORBsupmed_R	0.91	6558	0.30
Left rectus gyrus	REC_L	0.98	6591	0.00
Right rectus gyrus	REC_R	1.00	5984	0.20
Left superior frontal gyrus (medial)	SFGmed_L	0.62	14435	0.21
Temporal lobe				
Left amygdala	AMYG_L	1.00	1650	0.39
Right amygdala	AMYG_R	1.00	1961	0.05
Left hippocampus	HIP_L	0.99	7422	0.60
Right hippocampus	HIP_R	0.98	7526	0.07
Left parahippocampal gyrus (left parahippocampal gyrus)	PHG_L	1.00	7739	0.70
Right parahippocampal gyrus	PHG_R	0.94	8421	0.23
Left fusiform gyrus (IFFG)	FFG_L	0.75	13679	0.87
Right fusiform gyrus	FFG_R	0.61	12302	0.28
Left inferior temporal gyrus	ITG_L	0.96	24543	0.67
Right inferior temporal gyrus	ITG_R	0.75	21131	0.00
Left middle temporal gyrus	MTG_L	0.82	31877	0.64
Right middle temporal gyrus	MTG_R	0.54	19616	0.07
Left heschl gyrus	HES_L	0.65	1175	0.33
Left temporal pole: middle temporal gyrus	TPOmid_L	1.00	5987	0.31
Right temporal pole: middle temporal gyrus	TPOmid _R	1.00	9315	0.15
Left temporal pole: superior temporal gyrus	TPOsup_L	0.97	9923	0.43
Right temporal pole: superior temporal gyrus	TPOsup_R	0.98	10493	0.09
Insula cortex				
Left insula	INS_L	0.72	10986	0.49
Right insula	INS_R	0.81	11644	0.02
Cingulate cortex				
Left anterior cingulate and paracingulate gyri	ACG_L	0.53	5933	0.20
Basal ganglia				
Left lenticular nucleus, pallidum	PAL_L	0.67	1468	0.20
Right lenticular nucleus, pallidum	PAL_R	0.57	1218	0.36
Left lenticular nucleus, putamen	PUT_L	0.8	6456	0.07
Right lenticular nucleus, putamen	PUT_R	0.66	5633	0.31
Thalamus				
Left thalamus	THA_L	0.52	4658	0.01

p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001.

SD-Semantic-Critical Regions

We investigated the e ects of the GMVs of each SD-semanticcorrelated region with the semantic PCA scores after controlling for the GMVs of the other SD-semantic-correlated region. The RBA revealed that the e ect of the IFFG remained significant (partial r D 0.72, p < 0.0008), but the e ect of the IPHG was not significant (partial r D 0.14, p D 0.59; **Figure 3A**). In brief, the analysis suggested that the IFFG might be a SD-semantic-critical region.

Validating the Effects of an SD-Semantic-Critical Region

To further confirm the SD-semantic-critical e ects of the IFFG, we factored out the influence of potential confounding variables. The results revealed that the GMV values of the IFFG still significantly correlated with the semantic PCA scores after partialling out the influence of the total GMV (RBA: partial $r \ D \ 0.84$, p < 0.00002; VBA: partial $r \ D \ 0.92$, $p < 10^{-7}$), general cognitive processing (RBA: partial $r \ D \ 0.80$,



Table 2. p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001.

p < 0.00007; VBA: partial r D 0.91, $p < 10^{-6}$), laterality of brain atrophy (RBA: r D 0.89, $p < 10^{-6}$; VBA: partial r D 0.93, $p < 10^{-7}$), performance on the non-semantic control tasks (RBA: partial r D 0.87, p < 0.00002; VBA: partial r D 0.94, $p < 10^{-6}$), and all of the above potentially confounding variables (RBA: partial r D 0.81, p < 0.0009; VBA: partial r D 0.92, p < 0.00001). The above results further showed that these factors could not fully account for the e ects of the IFFG.

Note that five of the six semantic tasks from which the PCA semantic scores were extracted were verbal tasks, and only one of them was non-verbal task (i.e., picture associative matching). Thus, it is possible that the extent to which the higher correlations with the left FFG than the right FFG for semantic performance resulted from the involvement of verbal processing for most of the tasks. To test this possibility, we compared the e ects of non-verbal semantic task on bilateral fusiform gyri. We found that GMVs of both regions were significantly correlated with corrected *t* scores of picture associative matching task (RBA: left FFG: r D 0.58, p < 0.01; right FFG: r D 0.48, p < 0.05; VBA: left FFG: r D 0.62, p < 0.005; right FFG: r D 0.68; VBA: t D 0.66, p D 0.52). The findings suggest

that bilateral fusiform gyri may both attribute to non-verbal semantics.

In addition, four of our six semantic tasks involved visual stimuli input. A relevant question is whether the observed e ects of the IFFG were driven by visual but not semantic processing. To address this issue, we again performed a correlation analysis





DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study is to address the neuroanatomical basis for semantic deficits in SD. Using behavioral and structural brain data from 19 individuals with SD, we found 36 regions with gray matter atrophy. These regions were primarily distributed bilaterally in the temporal, ventral frontal and insular cortices. Among these atrophic regions, atrophy of the IFFG and IPHG were associated with semantic impairments in SD, as the reduction of their GMVs significantly correlated to the severity of semantic deficits. The IFFG was further identified as a critical region of semantic deficits in SD, as its volume reduction remained significant correlation with the severity of semantic impairments in SD after partialling out the influence of the IPHG. The relationship between the IFFG and the decline in semantic performance in patients with SD remained significant even after controlling for a range of potential confounding factors (i.e., total GMV, overall cognitive state, laterality of brain atrophy, and non-semantic control task scores). Moreover, the observed e ects of the atrophic IFFG cannot be simply deduced as deficits of visual processing. Note that the temporal poles had severe atrophy so that it is di cult to find temporal poles' e ect due to a floor e ect. In sum, the current study demonstrated that the IFFG dedicated to semantic deficits of SD. The following section addresses the implications associated with these brain regions in SD.

The Fusiform Gyrus

Although researchers have discovered many atrophic regions in individuals with SD, which of these atrophic regions actually lead to the semantic deficits observed in SD is unknown (Chan et al., 2001; Desgranges et al., 2007, but see Mion et al., 2010). The present study reveals that the IFFG plays a critical role in the semantic deficits observed in SD. In fact, Mion et al. (2010) suggested that dysfunction of this region could cause a loss of semantic functions in SD. Our results further expand the findings of Mion et al. (2010) in the several ways. First, the e ects of the IFFG as an SDsemantic-critical region were previously established through functional measures (Mion et al., 2010) and by anatomical measures in the present study. Second, previous findings distinguished between the two regions most related to semantic functions, the FFG and the temporal pole (Mion et al., 2010), whereas the present study distinguished these functions from atrophic regions throughout the brain. Third, the e ect of IFFG in SD were identified for verbal semantic processing in the previous study (Mion et al., 2010), whereas non-verbal performance was also related to IFFG in the present study. Finally, the present study revealed similar roles of the anteriorto-posterior portions of the IFFG in the semantic deficits of SD.

In fact, the IFFG, and especially its anterior part, has been considered an amodal region for semantic representation (Binney et al., 2010; Lambon Ralph, 2014). Given that the IFFG is adjacent to multiple modality-specific regions, such as auditory, visual, olfactory, and emotional systems (Rice et al., 2015), the IFFG may be responsible for amodal

semantic representation of single objects (Binney et al., 2012). The IFFG can also synthesize verbal and non-verbal information from the left lateral temporal lobe and the right temporal lobe, respectively. Considerable evidence from prior studies has shown the important functions of the IFFG for semantic processing, including the findings from meta-analyses (Binder et al., 2009; Visser et al., 2010), cortical stimulation (Shimotake et al., 2014), PET or distortion corrected fMRI (Visser and Lambon Ralph, 2011; Visser et al., 2012) and neuropsychological studies (Wilson et al., 2015; Wright et al., 2015).

The Temporal Pole

The temporal pole has been considered an SD-semantic-critical region because this region shows the earliest and most severe atrophy in SD (Lambon Ralph et al., 2009; Lambon Ralph et al., 2010). However, the findings of Mion et al. (2010) and our study regarding the e ects of the temporal pole on the semantic deficits in SD did not achieve significance after the influences of other atrophic regions were considered. These negative results regarding the involvement of the temporal pole could be interpreted according to three possibilities. First, the temporal pole is associated with the semantic deficits observed in SD (Patterson et al., 2007), but the atrophy of this region demonstrated a floor e ect in our study. Second, di erent regions of the temporal cortex process distinct types of semantic information: the FFG contributes to the concept of concrete objects or superordinate conceptual knowledge (Clarke and Tyler, 2015), whereas the temporal pole contributes to abstract concepts (Binder et al., 2005; Je eries et al., 2009), unique entities (Pobric et al., 2007; Clarke and Tyler, 2014) or social information (Zahn et al., 2007; Simmons et al., 2009). Because our study only assessed the semantic processing of concrete objects in subjects with SD, we failed to confirm a role for the temporal pole in semantic processing. Finally, the temporal pole is not associated with SD-related semantic deficits, and the previously reported e ects of this region were driven by other atrophic regions.

Limitations

Our patients su ered from severe SD, and the GMVs of the temporal poles showed a floor e ect that did not allow us to determine whether these regions were SD-semantic-critical regions. To resolve this problem, future studies should include a sample of SD patients with mild atrophy. Similarly, some regions una ected by SD showed ceiling e ects for neural atrophy. The roles of these regions in semantic processing were also not explored in our study. Therefore, other approaches, such as transcranial magnetic stimulation or functional MRI, should be used to address such issues.

CONCLUSION

The IFFG contributes to the semantic impairments of SD, and the deterioration of this brain region causes semantic deficits in SD. These results highlight a critical role of the IFFG in the semantic deficits observed in SD. However, the GMV values of the temporal pole regions in our patients showed floor e ects, which prevented us from excluding the possibility that these regions were SD-semantic-critical regions.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

QG, YB, and ZH designed research. KC, QY, NL, and YL performed research. JD, KC, YF, and YC analyzed data. JD, KC, QG, YB, and ZH wrote the paper.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the 973 Program (2013CB837300, 2014CB846100). Major Project of National Social Science Foundation (11&ZD186), and the National Natural Science

REFERENCES

- Adlam, A.-L., Patterson, K., Rogers, T., Nestor, P., Salmond, C., Acosta-Cabronero, J., et al. (2006). Semantic dementia and fluent primary progressive aphasia: two sides of the same coin? *Brain* 129, 3066–3080. doi: 10.1093/brain/awl285
- Bi, Y., Han, Z., Weekes, B., and Shu, H. (2007). The interaction between semantic and the nonsemantic systems in reading: evidence from Chinese. *Neuropsychologia* 45, 2660–2673. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.02.007
- Binder, J., Westbury, C., McKiernan, K., Possing, E., and Medler, D. (2005). Distinct brain systems for processing concrete and abstract concepts. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 17, 905–917. doi: 10.1162/0898929054021102
- Binder, J. R., Desai, R. H., Graves, W. W., and Conant, L. L. (2009). Where is the semantic system? A critical review and meta-analysis of 120 functional neuroimaging studies. *Cereb. Cortex* 19, 2767–2796. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhp055
- Binney, R. J., Embleton, K. V., Je eries, E., Parker, G. J., and Lambon Ralph, M. A. (2010). The ventral and inferolateral aspects of the anterior temporal lobe are crucial in semantic memory: evidence from a novel direct comparison of distortion-corrected fMRI, rTMS, and semantic dementia. *Cereb. Cortex* 20, 2728–2738. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhq019
- Binney, R. J., Parker, G. J., and Lambon Ralph, M. A. (2012). Convergent connectivity and graded specialization in the rostral human temporal lobe as revealed by di usion-weighted imaging probabilistic tractography. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 24, 1998–2014. doi: 10.1162/jocn_a_00263
- Chan, D., Fox, N. C., Scahill, R. I., Crum, W. R., Whitwell, J. L., Leschziner, G., et al. (2001). Patterns of temporal lobe atrophy in semantic dementia and Alzheimer's disease. Ann. Neurol. 49, 433–442. doi: 10.1002/ana.92.abs
- Clarke, A., and Tyler, L. K. (2014). Object-specific semantic coding in human perirhinal cortex. J. Neurosci. 34, 4766–4775. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2828-13.2014
- Clarke, A., and Tyler, L. K. (2015). Understanding what we see: how we derive meaning from vision. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 19, 677–687. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2015.08.008
- Crawford, J. R., and Garthwaite, P. H. (2006). Comparing patients' predicted test scores from a regression equation with their obtained scores: a significance test and point estimate of abnormality with accompanying confidence limits. *Neuropsychology* 20:259. doi: 10.1037/0894-4105.20.3.259
- Cui, Z., Zhong, S., Xu, P., He, Y., and Gong, G. (2013). PANDA: a pipeline toolbox for analyzing brain di usion images. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 7:42. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2013.00042
- Desgranges, B., Matuszewski, V., Piolino, P., Chételat, G., Mézenge, F., Landeau, B., et al. (2007). Anatomical and functional alterations in semantic dementia: a voxel-based MRI and PET study. *Neurobiol. Aging* 28, 1904–1913. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2006.08.006

Foundation of China (31271115, 81171019, 31171073, 31222024), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2014kJJCA07).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank M. A. Lambon Ralph for his insightful comments on earlier drafts of this manuscript. We would also like to thank all of the study participants for their patience.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnhum. 2016.00215

- Fang, Y., Han, Z., Zhong, S., Gong, G., Song, L., Liu, F., et al. (2015). The semantic anatomical network: evidence from healthy and brain-damaged patient populations. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 36, 3499–3515. doi: 10.1002/hbm.22858
- Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E., and McHugh, P. R. (1975). "Mini-mental state": a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J. Psychiat. Res.* 12, 189–198. doi: 10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6
- Galton, C. J., Patterson, K., Graham, K., Lambon-Ralph, M., Williams, G., Antoun, N., et al. (2001). Di ering patterns of temporal atrophy in Alzheimer's disease and semantic dementia. *Neurology* 57, 216–225. doi: 10.1212/WNL.57.2.216
- Good, C. D., Scahill, R. I., Fox, N. C., Ashburner, J., Friston, K. J., Chan, D., et al. (2002). Automatic di erentiation of anatomical patterns in the human brain: validation with studies of degenerative dementias. *Neuroimage* 17, 29–46. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2002.1202
- Goodglass, H., and Kaplan, E. (1972). *The Assessment of Aphasia and Related Disorders*. Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger.
- Gordon, J. K. (2006). A quantitative production analysis of picture description. *Aphasiology* 20, 188–204. doi: 10.1080/02687030500472777
- Gorno-Tempini, M., Hillis, A., Weintraub, S., Kertesz, A., Mendez, M., Cappa, S., et al. (2011). Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. *Neurology* 76, 1006–1014. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31821103e6
- Guo, C. C., Gorno-Tempini, M. L., Gesierich, B., Henry, M., Trujillo, A., Shany-Ur, T., et al. (2013). Anterior temporal lobe degeneration produces widespread network-driven dysfunction. *Brain* 136, 2979–2991. doi: 10.1093/brain/ awt222
- Han, Z., Bi, Y., Chen, J., Chen, Q., He, Y., and Caramazza, A. (2013a). Distinct

- Lambon Ralph, M. A. (2014). Neurocognitive insights on conceptual knowledge and its breakdown. *Philos. Trans. R Soc. B.* 369:20120392. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2012.0392
- Lambon Ralph, M. A., Pobric, G., and Je eries, E. (2009). Conceptual knowledge is underpinned by the temporal pole bilaterally: convergent evidence from rTMS. *Cereb. Cortex* 19, 832–838. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhn131
- Lambon Ralph, M. A., Sage, K., Jones, R. W., and Mayberry, E. J. (2010). Coherent concepts are computed in the anterior temporal lobes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 107, 2717–2722. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0907307107
- Mesulam, M.-M., Wieneke, C., Hurley, R., Rademaker, A., Thompson, C. K., Weintraub, S., et al. (2013). Words and objects at the tip of the left temporal lobe in primary progressive aphasia. *Brain* 136, 601–618. doi: 10.1093/brain/aws336
- Mesulam, M.-M., Wieneke, C., Thompson, C., Rogalski, E., and Weintraub, S. (2012). Quantitative classification of primary progressive aphasia at early and mild impairment stages. *Brain* 135, 1537–1553. doi: 10.1093/brain/aws080
- Mion, M., Patterson, K., Acosta-Cabronero, J., Pengas, G., Izquierdo-Garcia, D., Hong, Y. T., et al. (2010). What the left and right anterior fusiform gyri tell us about semantic memory. *Brain* 133, 3256–3268. doi: 10.1093/brain/awq272
- Ogar, J., Baldo, J., Wilson, S., Brambati, S., Miller, B., Dronkers, N., et al. (2011). Semantic dementia and persisting Wernickes aphasia: linguistic and anatomical profiles. *Brain Lang.* 117, 28–33. doi: 10.1016/j.bandl.2010.11.004
- Osterrieth, P. A. (1944). Le test de copie d'une figure complexe. Arch Psychol. 30, 206–256.
- Patterson, K., Nestor, P. J., and Rogers, T. T. (2007). Where do you know what you know? The representation of semantic knowledge in the human brain. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 8, 976–987.
- Pobric, G., Je eries, E., and Lambon Ralph, M. A. (2007). Anterior temporal lobes mediate semantic representation: mimicking semantic dementia by using rTMS in normal participants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 20137–20141. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0707383104
- Rey, A. (1941). L'examen psychologique dans les cas d'encéphalopathie traumatique. (Les problems.). Arch. Psychol. 28, 215–285.
- Rice, G. E., Lambon Ralph, M. A., and Ho man, P. (2015). The roles of left versus right anterior temporal lobes in conceptual knowledge: an ale metaanalysis of 97 functional neuroimaging studies. *Cereb. Cortex* 25, 4374–4391. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhv024
- Rohrer, J., Warren, J., Modat, M., Ridgway, G., Douiri, A., Rossor, M., et al. (2009). Patterns of cortical thinning in the language variants of frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Neurology* 72, 1562–1569. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181a4124e
- Rosen, H. J., Gorno-Tempini, M. L., Goldman, W., Perry, R., Schu, N., Weiner, M., et al. (2002). Patterns of brain atrophy in frontotemporal dementia and semantic dementia. *Neurology* 58, 198–208. doi: 10.1212/WNL.58.2.198
- Seeley, W. W., Crawford, R. K., Zhou, J., Miller, B. L., and Greicius, M. D. (2009). Neurodegenerative diseases target large-scale human brain networks. *Neuron* 62, 42–52. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2009.03.024
- Shimotake, A., Matsumoto, R., Ueno, T., Kunieda, T., Saito, S., Ho man, P., et al. (2014). Direct exploration of the role of the ventral anterior temporal lobe in semantic memory: cortical stimulation and local field potential evidence from subdural grid electrodes. *Cereb. Cortex* 25, 3802–3817. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhu262
- Shu, H., Chen, X., Anderson, R. C., Wu, N., and Xuan, Y. (2003). Properties of school Chinese: implications for learning to read. *Child Dev.* 74, 27–47. doi: 10.1111/1467-8624.00519

- Simmons, W. K., Reddish, M., Bellgowan, P. S., and Martin, A. (2009). The selectivity and functional connectivity of the anterior temporal lobes. *Cereb. Cortex* 20, 813–825. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhp149
- Snowden, J. S., Goulding, P., and Neary, D. (1989). Semantic dementia: a form of circumscribed cerebral atrophy. *Behav. Neurol.* 2, 167–182.
- Sun, H., Huang, J., Sun, D., Li, D., and Xing, H. (1997). "Introduction to language corpus system of modern Chinese study," in *Paper Collection for the Fifth World Chinese Teaching Symposium*, ed. M. Y. Hu (Beijing: Peking University Publisher), 459–466.
- Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., Landeau, B., Papathanassiou, D., Crivello, F., Etard, O., Delcroix, N., et al. (2002). Automated anatomical labeling of activations in SPM using a macroscopic anatomical parcellation of the MNI MRI single-subject brain. *Neuroimage* 15, 273–289. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2001.0978
- Visser, M., Je eries, E., Embleton, K. V., and Lambon Ralph, M. A. (2012). Both the middle temporal gyrus and the ventral anterior temporal area are crucial for multimodal semantic processing: distortion-corrected fMRI evidence for a double gradient of information convergence in the temporal lobes. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 24, 1766–1778. doi: 10.1162/jocn_a_00244
- Visser, M., Je eries, E., and Lambon Ralph, M. (2010). Semantic processing in the anterior temporal lobes: a meta-analysis of the functional neuroimaging literature. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 22, 1083–1094. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2009. 21309
- Visser, M., and Lambon Ralph, M. (2011). Di erential contributions of bilateral ventral anterior temporal lobe and left anterior superior temporal gyrus to semantic processes. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 23, 3121–3131. doi: 10.1162/jocn_a_00007
- Weekes, B., and Chen, H. Q. (1999). Surface dyslexia in Chinese. *Neurocase* 5, 161–172. doi: 10.1080/13554799908415480
- Wilson, S. M., Lam, D., Babiak, M. C., Perry, D. W., Shih, T., Hess, C. P., et al. (2015). Transient aphasias after left hemisphere resective surgery. *J. Neurosurg.* 123, 581–593. doi: 10.3171/2015.4.JNS141962
- Wright, P., Randall, B., Clarke, A., and Tyler, L. K. (2015). The perirhinal cortex and conceptual processing: e ects of feature-based statistics following damage to the anterior temporal lobes. *Neuropsychologia* 76, 192–207. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.01.041
- Zahn, R., Moll, J., Krueger, F., Huey, E. D., Garrido, G., and Grafman, J. (2007). Social concepts are represented in the superior anterior temporal cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 6430–6435. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0607061104
- Zhao, Q., Guo, Q., Li, F., Zhou, Y., Wang, B., and Hong, Z. (2013). The shape trail test: application of a new variant of the trail making test. *PLoS ONE* 8:e57333. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0057333

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Ding, Chen, Chen, Fang, Yang, Lv, Lin, Bi, Guo and Han. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.