Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## NeuroImage: Clinical

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynicl

# The neuropsychological profiles and semantic-critical regions of right semantic dementia

Keliang Chen<sup>a,1</sup>, Junhua Ding<sup>b,1</sup>, Biying Lin<sup>a</sup>, Lin Huang<sup>a</sup>, Le Tang<sup>a</sup>, Yanchao Bi<sup>b</sup>, Zaizhu Han<sup>b</sup>, Yingru Lv<sup>c,\*</sup>, Qihao Guo<sup>a,\*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Neurology, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

<sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning, IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

<sup>c</sup> Department of Radiology, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

ARTICLEINFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords: Semantic dementia Lesion-behavior mapping Laterality of brain atrophy Semantic deficits	Introduction: Previous literature has revealed that the anterior temporal lobe (ATL) is the semantic sided or mixed semantic dementia (SD), whilst the semantic hub of right-sided SD has not been er <i>Methods</i> : Seventeen patients with right-sided SD, 18 patients with left-sided SD and 20 normal c underwent neuropsychological assessments and magnetic resonance imaging scans. We investig lationship between the degree of cerebral atrophy in the whole brain and the severity of semantic d and right-sided SD samples, respectively. <i>Results</i> : We found the semantic deficits of right-sided SD patients were related to bilateral fusiform temporal pole, whilst the left fusiform gyrus correlated with the semantic performance of left-sided Moreover, all the findings couldn't be accounted for by total gray matter volume (GMV) or gene degradation of patients.

Discussion: These results provide novel evidence for the current semantic theory, that the importar semantic processing include both anterior and posterior temporal lobes.

#### 1. Introduction

Semantic dementia (SD) is a variant of progressive primary aphasia which is characterized by the specific semantic loss and preserved abilities of other cognitive functions (Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011; Hodges and Patterson, 2007). Its typical neuroanatomical feature is severe brain atrophy of the anterior temporal lobes (ATL) in both hemispheres (Gorno-Tempini et al., 2004a; Mummery et al., 2000).

According to the predominant atrophy hemisphere, this disorder can be split into two sub-types: left and right-sided SD. Their difference not only exists in the atrophy pattern, but also in the neuropsychological performance. Left-sided SD patients exhibit more naming and comprehension changes, whereas right-sided SD individuals suffer from more behavioral and face recognition problems (Brambati et al., 2009; Josephs et al., 2009; Seeley et al., 2005; Thompson et al., 2003).

Nevertheless, compared with left-sided SD, patients with right-sided SD are relatively rare. A study of a large series of consecutive SD patients found that only 25% cases were predominantly right-sided

(Hodges et al., 2010). Therefore, sample size is a common lin research investigating right-sided SD. Until now, most resea case studies (Brambati et al., 2009; Gorno-Tempini et Joubert et al., 2004; Seeley et al., 2005; Snowden et al., investigations with small samples (Kamminga et al., 201 et al., 2016). Only a few studies recruited big samples of rig (Binney et al., 2016; Chan et al., 2009; Hodges et al., 2010 et al., 2017). For example, Chan et al. (2009) collected 20 SD patients and compared their imaging and neuropsychol with left-sided SD patients. Although these studies are excell work is needed to use comprehensive assessments and brain analyses to gain a better understanding of right-sided S Moreover, severity-matched groups and mild cases would quired.

In fact, SD is thought to be direct evidence for the hub-plus-spoke model, which emphasizes the vital role of the ATL in semantic processing (Patterson et al., 2007; Ralph et al., 2017). Considerable studies have explored the semantic hub in SD individuals (Ding et al., 2016;

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2018.05.035 Received 24 February 2018; Received in revised form 26 May 2018; Accepted 28 May 2018 Available online 29 May 2018 2213-1582/ © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0/).



<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence to: Y. Lv, Department of Radiology, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, 12 Wulumuqi Zhong Road, Shanghai 200040, China.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Correspondence to: Q. Guo, Department of Neurology and Institute of Neurology, Huashan Hospital; Fudan University, 12 Wulumuqi Zhong Road, Shanghai 200040, China. E-mail addresses: xxzlyr@163.com (Y. Lv), dr.guoqihao@126.com (Q. Guo).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.

Mion et al., 2010). Using strict regressions, they have demonstrated that the fusiform gyrus underpinned SD's semantic deficits. It's important to note that these studies only used left-sided or mixed SD cohorts, which might miss the chance to identify other areas. For example, floor and ceiling effects would occur in the left temporal pole and right fusiform gyrus, respectively. Indeed, right-sided SD patients also suffer from severe semantic deficits. Thus, investigating these patients would resolve the above issue and contribute to the understanding of semantic theory. To our knowledge, the semantic hub of right-sided SD has not been systematically examined.

In our study, we applied comprehensive neuropsychological assessments and voxel-wised imaging scans in 17 cases of mild right-sided SD and 18 cases of mild left-sided SD with comparable severity. Then we explored the neuropsychological deterioration, atrophy pattern and semantic-related areas of these two groups. We assumed that (1) both groups would present with severe semantic deficits; (2) left-sided SD group would present with more severe language problems than rightsided SD group; (3) in right-sided SD sample, the semantic-related regions would include other regions such as the temporal pole beyond the fusiform gyrus. In summary, by using a big sample of right-sided SD patients, our study identifies their comprehensive characteristics and provides new evidence for the semantic model.

#### 2. Method

#### 2.1. Subjects

Thirty-five SD patients were identified from the memory disturbance clinic of neurology department at Huashan hospital, Shanghai. The inclusion criteria included: reaching the current diagnostic criteria of SD (Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011), mild severity (MMSE > 18), > 6 years of education and completing neuropsychological assessments and MRI scans. Moreover, we measured the severity of white-matter hyperintensity through the Fazekas Scale (Fazekas et al., 1987) using T2 images. All subjects' periventricular hyperintensity (PVH) scores and deep white matter hyperintensity (DWMH) scores were  $\leq 1$ . Thus, no subjects were excluded for the white-matter hyperintensity.

Twenty normal controls (NC) were recruited from the community, whose age, gender and education were matched with patients. All subjects were right-handed (measured by Edinburgh Handedness Inventory; Oldfield, 1971), native Chinese speakers with normal or corrected audition and vision and no psychiatric disease. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants.

#### 2.2. Neuropsychological tests

All subjects underwent routine clinical assessments (Guo and Hong, 2013) including domains of general cognitive function (MMSE & Memory and Executive Screening), episodic memory (Auditory Verbal Learning Test & Rey-Osterrich Complex Figure Test: long-delayed recalling), language (Similarity test, Boston naming test & Animal Verbal Fluency Test), attention (Symbol Digit Modalities Test), working memory (Digital Span Test), executive function (Trail Making Test & Stroop Color-Word Test), visuospatial skills (Rey-Osterrich Complex Figure Test: copy & Point Size Judgment Test), social cognitive function (Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test) and calculation (Exact Calculation, Magnitude Comparison & Proximity Judgment; see Table 1).

In addition, a comprehensive battery was used to examine semantic and non-semantic functions (Chen et al., 2017; Ding et al., 2016), including picture naming, facial verification, sound naming, naming to definition, picture associative matching, word associative matching, word-picture verification, word reading, repetition and picture description (see Table 1 and Supplementary material for details).

#### 2.3. Image acquisition

Subjects were scanned in a 3 T MAGNETOM Verio MRI scanner. MPRAGE T1 weighted images were obtained with the following parameters: sagittal orientation, repetition time = 2300 ms, echo time = 2.98 ms, flip angle = 9°, matrix size =  $240 \times 240$ , field of view =  $240 \times 256$  mm, slice number = 192, slice thickness = 1 mm, voxel size =  $1 \times 1 \times 1$  mm<sup>3</sup>.

#### 2.4. Image preprocessing

T1-weighted images were first resampled to  $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}^3$  and segmented into gray matter, white matter and cerebrospinal fluid using SPM8 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/). Next, images were normalized into the Montreal neurological institute (MNI) space. Then, gray matter volume (GMV) images were generated via affine transformation and non-linear warping, and smoothed using an 8-mm full-width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel. One patient was excluded due to the poor image quality.

#### 2.5. Classification of patients

We first divided SD patients into left and right-sided groups according to the atrophy degree of bilateral ATLs qualitatively and then verified our classification results with the laterality index, which was evaluated by the formula: (Right ATL GMV- Left ATL GMV)/(Right ATL GMV + Left ATL GMV). The ATL was defined by the regions of temporal poles in the Automated Anatomical Labeling (AAL) Atlas (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002). A positive index value indicates a patient suffers from left-sided SD, and vice versa. Specifically, one patient was classified as left-sided SD by visual inspection due to bad quality of her T1-weighted image.

#### 2.6. Statistical analyses of demographic and neuropsychological variables

We used SPSS19.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) to carry out these analyses. One-way analyses of variance were employed to reveal the differences among left, right-sided SD and NC groups. Then, we adopted post-hoc comparisons with the least significant difference (LSD) method. Specifically, gender was compared using a Chi-square test.

To measure the semantic performance of patients, principle component analysis (PCA) was conducted across all the battery tasks in left and right-sided SD groups respectively. We only extracted the factors whose eigenvalues were > 1, rotated them using the varimax method and calculated factor scores with the regression model. The factor score with high loadings on semantic tasks was considered as the semantic measure for further analyses.

#### 2.7. Statistical analyses of imaging variables

All the analyses were performed using Resting-State fMRI Data Analysis (REST) (Song et al., 2011) and corrected with the Gaussian random field (GRF) theory (voxel p < 0.001 and cluster p < 0.05) for multiple comparisons.

First, to identify the atrophy patterns of left and right-sided SD, the GMV images were compared using two-sample *t*-tests between each of SD groups and NC.

Next, to determine the critical regions of semantic processing in left and right-sided SD patients, we correlated the GMV images with the semantic PCA scores controlling age, gender and education in these two groups, respectively. Furthermore, total GMV and MMSE scores were used as nuisance covariates to eliminate their potential bias. In order to explore the influence of floor and ceiling effects on our data, the mean volumes of significant clusters in right and left-sided SD groups were further compared among three groups using one-way analyses of variance.

### Table 1

Demographic and neuropsychological profiles of left and right-sided SD patients.

Age (years) $62.71 \pm 7.75$ $61.33 \pm 7.46$ Gender (Male:female) $6:11$ $9:9$ Education (years) $11.35 \pm 2.71$ $12.11 \pm 3.31$ Course (years) $2.47 \pm 2.12$ $3.00 \pm 1.75$ General cognitionMMSE (max = 30) $22.88 \pm 3.72$ $21.17 \pm 4.42$ MES (max = 100) $58.00 \pm 12.55$ $53.11 \pm 12.22$ Episodic memory $AVLT$ -delay recall (n = 12) $0.94 \pm 1.48$ $0.56 \pm 1.20$ CFT-memory (max = 36) $9.06 \pm 4.87$ $10.22 \pm 8.15$ Language $ST$ (max = 20) $5.82 \pm 4.29$ $3.94 \pm 3.88$ BNT (n = 30) $7.94 \pm 4.07^{\circ}$ $5.06 \pm 3.52$ AVFT (number in 60 s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ Attention $ST$ -forward (n = 12) $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-forward (n = 12) $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-forward (n = 12) $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SCWT-C_{accuracy}$ (n = 50) $42.29 \pm 6.91^{\circ}$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>accuracy</sub> (n = 50) $42.29 \pm 6.91^{\circ}$ $37.06 \pm 11.39$ TMT part B-A (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception $CFT$ -copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSIT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition $RMET$ (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	NC	F	Р
Gender (Male:female) $6:11$ $9:9$ Education (years) $1.1.35 \pm 2.71$ $12.11 \pm 3.31$ Course (years) $2.47 \pm 2.12$ $3.00 \pm 1.75$ General cognition $MSE$ (max = 30) $22.88 \pm 3.72$ $21.17 \pm 4.42$ MES (max = 100) $58.00 \pm 12.55$ $53.11 \pm 12.22$ Episodic memory $AVL7$ -delay recall (n = 12) $0.94 \pm 1.48$ $0.56 \pm 1.20$ CFT-memory (max = 36) $0.94 \pm 1.48$ $0.56 \pm 3.52$ ST (max = 20) $5.82 \pm 4.29$ $3.94 \pm 3.88$ BNT (n = 30) $7.94 \pm 4.07^{a}$ $5.06 \pm 3.52$ AVFT (number in 60 s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ Attention $SE.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memory $SE.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memory $SE.71 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-backward (n = 10) $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order (n = 12) $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SE.72 \pm 3.7.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>t</sub>	$60.50 \pm 3.95$	0.532	0.591
Education (years) $11.35 \pm 2.71$ $12.11 \pm 3.31$ Course (years) $2.47 \pm 2.12$ $3.00 \pm 1.75$ General cognition $MMSE$ (max = 30) $22.88 \pm 3.72$ $21.17 \pm 4.42$ MES (max = 100) $58.00 \pm 12.55$ $53.11 \pm 12.22$ Episodic memory $AVLT$ -delay recall (n = 12) $0.94 \pm 1.48$ $0.56 \pm 1.20$ CFT-memory (max = 36) $9.06 \pm 4.87$ $10.22 \pm 8.15$ Language $ST$ (max = 20) $5.82 \pm 4.29$ $3.94 \pm 3.88$ BNT (n = 30) $7.94 \pm 4.07^{a}$ $5.06 \pm 3.52$ AVFT (number in 60 s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ Attention $SDMT$ (number in 90 s) $28.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memory $DST$ -forward (n = 12) $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-forward (n = 12) $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SCWT-C_{ime}$ (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>ime</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>ime</sub> (seconds) $22.9 \pm 6.91^{a}$ $37.06 \pm 11.39$ TMT part B-A (seconds) $22.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition $77.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$	8:12	$\chi^2 = 0.820$	0.664
Course (years) $2.47 \pm 2.12$ $3.00 \pm 1.75$ General cognitionMMSE (max = 30) $22.88 \pm 3.72$ $21.17 \pm 4.42$ MES (max = 100) $58.00 \pm 12.55$ $53.11 \pm 12.22$ Episodic memory $AVLT$ -delay recall (n = 12) $0.94 \pm 1.48$ $0.56 \pm 1.20$ CFT-memory (max = 36) $9.06 \pm 4.87$ $10.22 \pm 8.15$ Language $ST$ (max = 20) $5.82 \pm 4.29$ $3.94 \pm 3.88$ BNT (n = 30) $7.94 \pm 4.07^{a}$ $5.06 \pm 3.52$ AVFT (number in 60 s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ Attention $ST$ $ST$ SDMT (number in 90 s) $28.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memory $ST$ -forward (n = 12) $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-backward (n = 10) $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order (n = 12) $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SCWT-C_{time}$ (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>accuracy</sub> (n = 50) $42.29 \pm 6.91^{a}$ $37.06 \pm 11.39$ TMT part B-A (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception $CFT$ -copy (max = 36) $22.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition $RMET$ (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	$10.45 \pm 2.89$	1.478	0.237
General cognitionMMSE (max = 30)22.88 $\pm$ 3.7221.17 $\pm$ 4.42MES (max = 100)58.00 $\pm$ 12.5553.11 $\pm$ 12.22Episodic memoryAVLT-delay recall (n = 12)0.94 $\pm$ 1.480.56 $\pm$ 1.20CFT-memory (max = 36)9.06 $\pm$ 4.8710.22 $\pm$ 8.15LanguageS5.82 $\pm$ 4.293.94 $\pm$ 3.88ST (max = 20)5.82 $\pm$ 4.293.94 $\pm$ 3.88BNT (n = 30)7.94 $\pm$ 4.07°5.06 $\pm$ 3.52AVFT (number in 60 s)6.94 $\pm$ 3.705.72 $\pm$ 3.86AttentionSSSDMT (number in 90 s)28.71 $\pm$ 11.4734.44 $\pm$ 10.29Working memoryD7.57 $\pm$ 1.347.08 $\pm$ 1.68DST-backward (n = 12)7.57 $\pm$ 1.347.08 $\pm$ 1.68DST-order (n = 12)4.29 $\pm$ 1.543.83 $\pm$ 1.85Executive functionSSCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds)SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds)104.00 $\pm$ 37.44127.22 $\pm$ 37.52SCWT-C <sub>accuracy</sub> (n = 50)42.29 $\pm$ 6.91°37.06 $\pm$ 11.39TMT part B-A (seconds)120.76 $\pm$ 58.78114.00 $\pm$ 59.85Visuospatial perceptionCFT-copy (max = 36)32.41 $\pm$ 2.7432.78 $\pm$ 4.66PSJT (n = 30)27.29 $\pm$ 2.0527.94 $\pm$ 1.51Social cognitionRMET (n = 30)14.64 $\pm$ 4.7215.47 $\pm$ 5.33	-	t = 0.807	0.426
MMSE (max = 30) $22.88 \pm 3.72$ $21.17 \pm 4.42$ MES (max = 100) $58.00 \pm 12.55$ $53.11 \pm 12.22$ Episodic memory $AVLT$ -delay recall (n = 12) $0.94 \pm 1.48$ $0.56 \pm 1.20$ CFT-memory (max = 36) $9.06 \pm 4.87$ $10.22 \pm 8.15$ Language $ST$ (max = 20) $5.82 \pm 4.29$ $3.94 \pm 3.88$ BNT (n = 30) $7.94 \pm 4.07^{\circ}$ $5.06 \pm 3.52$ AVFT (number in 60 s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ Attention $SDMT$ (number in 90 s) $28.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memory $DST$ -forward (n = 12) $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-backward (n = 10) $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order (n = 12) $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SCWT-C_{acuracy}$ (n = 50) $42.29 \pm 6.91^{\circ}$ SCWT-C <sub>dime</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ Visuospatial perception $CFT$ -copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition $RMET$ (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$			
MES (max = 100) $58.00 \pm 12.55$ $53.11 \pm 12.22$ Episodic memory AVLT-delay recall (n = 12) $0.94 \pm 1.48$ $0.56 \pm 1.20$ CFT-memory (max = 36) $9.06 \pm 4.87$ $10.22 \pm 8.15$ Language ST (max = 20) $5.82 \pm 4.29$ $3.94 \pm 3.88$ BNT (n = 30) $7.94 \pm 4.07^{a}$ $5.06 \pm 3.52$ AVFT (number in 60 s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ Attention SDMT (number in 90 s) $28.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memory DST-forward (n = 12) $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-backward (n = 10) $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order (n = 12) $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>accuracy</sub> (n = 50) $42.29 \pm 6.91^{a}$ $37.06 \pm 11.39$ TMT part B-A (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception CFT-copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition RMET (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	$28.10 \pm 1.37^{b,c}$	22.001	< 0.001
Episodic memoryAVLT-delay recall (n = 12) $0.94 \pm 1.48$ $0.56 \pm 1.20$ CFT-memory (max = 36) $9.06 \pm 4.87$ $10.22 \pm 8.15$ LanguageST (max = 20) $5.82 \pm 4.29$ $3.94 \pm 3.88$ BNT (n = 30) $7.94 \pm 4.07^n$ $5.06 \pm 3.52$ AVFT (number in 60 s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ AttentionSDMT (number in 90 s) $28.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memory $DST$ -forward (n = 12) $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-backward (n = 10) $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order (n = 12) $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SCWT-C_{time}$ (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>accuracy</sub> (n = 50) $42.29 \pm 6.91^a$ $37.06 \pm 11.39$ TMT part B-A (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception $CFT$ -copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition $RMET$ (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	$82.85 \pm 12.98^{b,c}$	30.647	< 0.001
AVLT-delay recall (n = 12) $0.94 \pm 1.48$ $0.56 \pm 1.20$ CFT-memory (max = 36) $9.06 \pm 4.87$ $10.22 \pm 8.15$ LanguageST (max = 20) $5.82 \pm 4.29$ $3.94 \pm 3.88$ BNT (n = 30) $7.94 \pm 4.07^{a}$ $5.06 \pm 3.52$ AVFT (number in 60 s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ AttentionSDMT (number in 90 s) $28.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memory $DST$ -forward (n = 12) $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-backward (n = 10) $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order (n = 12) $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SCWT-C_{time}$ (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>accuracy</sub> (n = 50) $42.29 \pm 6.91^{a}$ $37.06 \pm 11.39$ TMT part B-A (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception $CFT$ -copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$			
CFT-memory (max = 36) $9.06 \pm 4.87$ $10.22 \pm 8.15$ Language ST (max = 20) $5.82 \pm 4.29$ $3.94 \pm 3.88$ BNT (n = 30) $7.94 \pm 4.07^n$ $5.06 \pm 3.52$ AVFT (number in 60 s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ Attention SDMT (number in 90 s) $28.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memory DST-forward (n = 12) $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-order (n = 12) $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order (n = 12) $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SCWT-C_{time}$ (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $102.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception CFT-copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition RMET (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	$5.75 \pm 2.31^{b,c}$	51.697	< 0.001
Language $ST (max = 20)$ $5.82 \pm 4.29$ $3.94 \pm 3.88$ BNT $(n = 30)$ $7.94 \pm 4.07^a$ $5.06 \pm 3.52$ AVFT (number in $60$ s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ Attention $SDMT (number in 90 s)28.71 \pm 11.4734.44 \pm 10.29Working memoryDST-forward (n = 12)7.57 \pm 1.347.08 \pm 1.68DST-forward (n = 10)4.64 \pm 1.283.42 \pm 1.88DST-order (n = 12)4.29 \pm 1.543.83 \pm 1.85Executive functionSCWT-C_{time} (seconds)104.00 \pm 37.44127.22 \pm 37.52SCWT-Caccuracy (n = 50)42.29 \pm 6.91^a37.06 \pm 11.39TMT part B-A (seconds)120.76 \pm 58.78114.00 \pm 59.85Visuospatial perceptionCFT-copy (max = 36)32.41 \pm 2.7432.78 \pm 4.66PSJT (n = 30)27.29 \pm 2.0527.94 \pm 1.51Social cognitionRMET (n = 30)14.64 \pm 4.7215.47 \pm 5.33$	$16.55 \pm 6.57^{b,c}$	6.889	0.002
ST (max = 20) $5.82 \pm 4.29$ $3.94 \pm 3.88$ BNT (n = 30) $7.94 \pm 4.07^a$ $5.06 \pm 3.52$ AVFT (number in 60 s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ AttentionSDMT (number in 90 s) $28.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memory $DST$ -forward (n = 12) $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-forward (n = 10) $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order (n = 12) $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SCWT-C_{time}$ (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>accuracy</sub> (n = 50) $42.29 \pm 6.91^a$ $37.06 \pm 11.39$ TMT part B-A (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception $CFT$ -copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$			
BNT $(n = 30)$ $7.94 \pm 4.07^a$ $5.06 \pm 3.52$ AVFT (number in 60 s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ Attention $SDMT$ (number in 90 s) $28.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memory $DST$ -forward $(n = 12)$ $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-backward $(n = 10)$ $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order $(n = 12)$ $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SCWT-C_{time}$ (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception $CFT$ -copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT $(n = 30)$ $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition $RMET$ $(n = 30)$ $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	$14.75 \pm 2.73^{b,c}$	47.879	< 0.001
AVFT (number in 60 s) $6.94 \pm 3.70$ $5.72 \pm 3.86$ AttentionSDMT (number in 90 s) $28.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memoryDST-forward (n = 12) $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-backward (n = 10) $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order (n = 12) $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SCWT-C_{time}$ (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception $CFT$ -copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition $RMET$ (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	$22.10 \pm 3.28^{b,c}$	121.918	< 0.001
AttentionSDMT (number in 90 s) $28.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memoryDST-forward (n = 12) $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-backward (n = 10) $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order (n = 12) $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SCWT-C_{time}$ (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>acuracy</sub> (n = 50) $42.29 \pm 6.91^{a}$ $37.06 \pm 11.39$ TMT part B-A (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception $CFT$ -copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition $RMET$ (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	$16.00 \pm 3.66^{b,c}$	43.192	< 0.001
SDMT (number in 90 s) $28.71 \pm 11.47$ $34.44 \pm 10.29$ Working memory DST-forward $(n = 12)$ $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-backward $(n = 10)$ $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order $(n = 12)$ $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $SCWT-C_{time}$ (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>accuracy</sub> $(n = 50)$ $42.29 \pm 6.91^{-8}$ $37.06 \pm 11.39$ TMT part B-A (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception $CFT$ -copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT $(n = 30)$ $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition $RMET (n = 30)$ $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$			
Working memory DST-forward $(n = 12)$ 7.57 $\pm$ 1.347.08 $\pm$ 1.68DST-backward $(n = 10)$ 4.64 $\pm$ 1.283.42 $\pm$ 1.88DST-order $(n = 12)$ 4.29 $\pm$ 1.543.83 $\pm$ 1.85Executive functionSCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds)104.00 $\pm$ 37.44127.22 $\pm$ 37.52SCWT-C <sub>acuracy</sub> $(n = 50)$ 42.29 $\pm$ 6.91 $^{3}$ 37.06 $\pm$ 11.39TMT part B-A (seconds)120.76 $\pm$ 58.78114.00 $\pm$ 59.85Visuospatial perceptionCFT-copy (max = 36)32.41 $\pm$ 2.7432.78 $\pm$ 4.66PSJT $(n = 30)$ 27.29 $\pm$ 2.0527.94 $\pm$ 1.51Social cognitionRMET $(n = 30)$ 14.64 $\pm$ 4.7215.47 $\pm$ 5.33	$38.90 \pm 10.80^{b}$	4.060	0.023
DST-forward $(n = 12)$ $7.57 \pm 1.34$ $7.08 \pm 1.68$ DST-backward $(n = 10)$ $4.64 \pm 1.28$ $3.42 \pm 1.88$ DST-order $(n = 12)$ $4.29 \pm 1.54$ $3.83 \pm 1.85$ Executive function $5000 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>accuracy</sub> $(n = 50)$ $42.29 \pm 6.91^{-3}$ $37.06 \pm 11.39$ TMT part B-A (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception $CFT$ -copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT $(n = 30)$ $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition $RMET (n = 30)$ $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$			
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$8.42 \pm 1.38^{\circ}$	5.357	0.008
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$4.17 \pm 1.27^{\rm b}$	4.551	0.015
Executive function $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>time</sub> (seconds) $104.00 \pm 37.44$ $127.22 \pm 37.52$ SCWT-C <sub>accuracy</sub> (n = 50) $42.29 \pm 6.91^a$ $37.06 \pm 11.39$ TMT part B-A (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception           CFT-copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition           RMET (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	$5.00 \pm 0.95^{\circ}$	4.721	0.013
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			
SCWT- $C_{accuracy}$ (n = 50) $42.29 \pm 6.91^{a}$ $37.06 \pm 11.39$ TMT part B-A (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception       CFT-copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition       RMET (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	$78.50 \pm 34.84^{b,c}$	8.447	0.001
TMT part B-A (seconds) $120.76 \pm 58.78$ $114.00 \pm 59.85$ Visuospatial perception       CFT-copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition       RMET (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	$48.10 \pm 1.92^{b,c}$	9.916	< 0.001
Visuospatial perception $CFT$ -copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition $RMET$ (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	$91.35 \pm 36.05$	1.643	0.201
CFT-copy (max = 36) $32.41 \pm 2.74$ $32.78 \pm 4.66$ PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition       RMET (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$			
PSJT (n = 30) $27.29 \pm 2.05$ $27.94 \pm 1.51$ Social cognition       RMET (n = 30) $14.64 \pm 4.72$ $15.47 \pm 5.33$	$34.25 \pm 2.02$	1.643	0.203
Social cognition         14.64 $\pm$ 4.72         15.47 $\pm$ 5.33	$27.25~\pm~1.62$	0.919	0.405
RMET $(n = 30)$ 14.64 ± 4.72 15.47 ± 5.33			
	$22.85 \pm 2.94^{b,c}$	22.094	< 0.001
Arithmetic			
EC $(n = 7)$ 6.24 $\pm$ 0.83 6.61 $\pm$ 0.85	$6.50~\pm~0.69$	1.045	0.359

6.50 ±RM2H015 (n

#### 3.1. Demographic and neuropsychological results

Based on the comparison of GMV between left and right ATLs. 18 and 17 cases were classified as left and right-sided SD respectively. As shown in Table 1, the demographic and neuropsychological data were compared among left, right-sided SD and NC groups. There were no significant differences in age, gender and education among groups. Additionally, no difference was observed in disease duration between two patient groups. Compared with NC. left and right-sided SD patients presented with impairments on the tasks of general cognition, episodic memory, semantic memory, social cognition and executive function. Moreover, left-sided SD patients showed greater impairments on the picture and definition naming, word reading and Stroop tests than those with right-sided SD. Specifically, left-sided SD group had worse performance of the digit span test than other two groups, whilst right-sided SD group showed impairments on the symbol digit modalities test compared with other two groups. No differences were found on the visuospatial perception, arithmetic, grammar processing and repetition tests among three groups.

The PCA was used to identify the measure of semantics for SD patients. The KMO indexes were > 0.5 and the factors captured 70% of variance, which means that the power of our PCA was acceptable. The loading of each test is listed in Table 2. The first factor of left or rightsided SD groups had high loadings on semantic tasks but low loadings on other tests, so we extracted the scores of this factor as the semantic measure of patients for further analyses.

#### 3.2. The atrophy pattern of SD patients

Fig. 1 depicted the voxel-based atrophy patterns of left and rightsided SD (GRF corrected, voxel p < 0.001 and cluster p < 0.05). Compared with NC, both left and right-sided SD groups showed extensive atrophy in bilateral temporal and medial frontal lobes. When two SD groups were compared, left-sided SD group showed circumscribed atrophy in the left temporal lobe on both lateral and medial surfaces, whereas right-sided SD group not only showed greater asymmetric atrophy in the right temporal lobe, but also had widespread atrophy in the right insula, temporoparietal junction and orbital frontal cortex.

#### 3.3. The correlations between semantic deficits and brain atrophy

Within the atrophy areas, we further explored the semantic-related regions of left and right-sided SD (see Table 3 and Fig. 2; GRF corrected, voxel p < 0.001 and cluster p < 0.05). For left-sided SD patients, the correlation analysis identified one cluster in the left fusiform gyrus (cluster size = 2437 voxels; peak coordinates: -34, -46, -16; r = 0.85, p < 0.001). As to right-sided SD group, three clusters were associated with the semantic deficits, including the left temporal pole (cluster size = 2789 voxels; peak coordinates: -55, -1, -19; r = 0.85,



**Fig. 1.** The atrophy pattern of SD patients. a, b and c are the comparison results between left-sided SD vs controls, right-sided SD vs controls, and left SD vs right-sided SD (GRF corrected, voxel p < 0.001 and cluster p < 0.05). > denotes the group with greater GMV.

Table 3					
The correlations	between	semantic	deficits and	brain	atrophy.

Cluster	Brain regions	Cluster size (voxels)	Peak coordinates			r	р
			x	у	z		
Left SD							
1	Left fusiform gyrus	2437	- 34.5	-46.5	- 16.5	0.847	< 0.001
Right SI	Right SD						
1	Left temporal pole	2789	- 55.5	1.5	- 19.5	0.853	< 0.001
2	Left fusiform gyrus	557	-25.5	-42	- 16.5	0.816	< 0.001
3	Right fusiform gyrus	551	46.5	-61.5	-9	0.816	< 0.001

Note: SD = semantic dementia.

impaired in one patient group, it would means these functions are purely driven by one side (i.e. assumption c). Our results suggest some simple language-related tasks such as digit span are related with the left temporal lobe, probably, relying on the posterior temporal lobe with no atrophy in right-sided SD group (Gainotti, 2015; Thierry et al., 2003). On the other hand, the symbol digit modalities task was only impaired in right-sided SD group, suggesting that this primary nonverbal function might be related with the right posterior temporal lobe without damage in left-sided SD group (Gainotti, 2015; Thierry et al., 2003). (4) Other functions, such as visuospatial, calculation, repetition and grammar abilities, were not impaired in both patient groups, indicating that they had no relationship with frontotemporal lobes atrophy (Arsalidou and Taylor, 2011; Mesulam et al., 2014; Saur et al., 2008; Thiebaut De Schotten et al., 2011).

Using separated patient groups, we can avoid ceiling or floor effects and offer the chance to reveal all potential regions related with semantic processing. We found the effect of the left fusiform gyrus in both groups and effects of the right fusiform gyrus and left temporal pole in only right-sided SD group. In fact, a revised semantic theory, unified model, has been proposed during these years (Ralph et al., 2017). It assumes that bilateral ATL are both the hub of semantics, but due to differential connectivity patterns, the sub-regions in the ATL show graded changes of functions. Our findings provide new insights into this theory. First, not only the ATL, but also the fusiform gyrus can be added into this model, because they are both involved in semantic deficits of SD (Mion et al., 2010). Second, the functional diversity appears in two dimensions (i.e. anterior-posterior & left-right directions). After overcoming floor and ceiling effects, only the left temporal pole and bilateral fusiform gyri were found. This result implicates that the role of fusiform gyrus is different from the temporal pole. Studies with NC and SD patients have demonstrated posterior ventral temporal regions are



Fig. 2. Relationship between GMV value of each SD-semantic related cluster and PCA score (GRF corrected, voxel p < 0.001 and cluster p < 0.05).

engaged in processing of basic concepts (Bonner and Price, 2013; Hoffman et al., 2015) and tended to work bilaterally. Nevertheless, anterior ventral temporal regions would underpin the processing of specific concepts (Gainotti, 2007; Grabowski et al., 2001;

#### K. Chen et al.

Musso, M., Glauche, V., Abel, S., Huber, W., Rijntjes, M., Hennig, J., Weiller, C., 2008. Ventral and dorsal pathways for language. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 105, 18035–18040.

- Seeley, W.W., Bauer, A.M., Miller, B.L., Gorno-Tempini, M.L., Kramer, J.H., Weiner, M., Rosen, H.J., 2005. The natural history of temporal variant frontotemporal dementia. Neurology 64, 1384–1390.
- Snowden, J.S., Thompson, J.C., Neary, D., 2012. Famous people knowledge and the right and left temporal lobes. Behav. Neurol. 25, 35–44.
- Snowden, J.S., Harris, J.M., Thompson, J.C., Kobylecki, C., Jones, M., Richardson, A.M., Neary, D., 2017. Semantic dementia and the left and right temporal lobes. Cortex. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2017.08.024.
- Song, X.W., Dong, Z.Y., Long, X.Y., Li, S.F., Zuo, X.N., Zhu, C.Z., He, Y., Yan, C.G., Zang, Y.F., 2011. REST: a toolkit for resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging data processing. PLoS One 6, e25031.
- Thiebaut De Schotten, M., Dell'Acqua, F., Forkel, S.J., Simmons, A., Vergani, F., Murphy, D.G., Catani, M., 2011. A lateralized brain network for visuospatial attention. Nat. Neurosci. 14, 1245–1246.
- Thierry, G., Giraud, A.L., Price, C., 2003. Hemispheric dissociation in access to the human semantic system. Neuron 38, 499–506.
- Thompson, S.A., Patterson, K., Hodges, J.R., 2003. Left/right asymmetry of atrophy in semantic dementia: behavioral-cognitive implications. Neurology 61, 1196–1203.
- Tranel, D., 2006. Impaired naming of unique landmarks is associated with left temporal polar damage. Neuropsychology 20, 1–10.
- Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., Landeau, B., Papathanassiou, D., Crivello, F., Etard, O., Delcroix, N., Mazoyer, B., Joliot, M., 2002. Automated anatomical labeling of activations in SPM using a macroscopic anatomical parcellation of the MNI MRI single-subject brain. NeuroImage 15, 273–289.